19th June 2014

All Executive Officers

MINUTES OF AN LMC EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' MEETING HELD AT THE LMC OFFICES ON THURSDAY 19th JUNE 2014

Present:

Dr P Fielding	(PF)	(Chairman)
Dr S Alvis	(SA)	
Dr R Hodges	(RH)	
Dr J Hubbard	(JH)	
Dr T Yerburgh	(TY)	
Mr M Forster	(MF)	(Secretary)

Action/Lead

I

<u>ITEM 1 – APOLOGIES</u> Nil	
<u>ITEM 2 – MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING (15th May 2014)</u> Agreed.	
<u>ITEM 3 – MATTERS ARISING</u> Nil	
ITEM 4 – LMC BUSINESS Gloucestershire Medical Benevolent Fund. The Charity Commission had approved in principle the addition of 'the provision of timely and appropriate support to general medical practitioners who are experiencing exceptional stress and difficulties when carrying out general medical practice in Gloucestershire' to the aims of the charity.	
 The change would have to be approved by the LMC main meeting and the minutes submitted to the Charity Commission who would then have 60 days to make any formal objections. The change would then take effect. The Executive agreed that every case would have to be judged on its merits and that the Trustees would always have to act consistently. It was also agreed that the existence of the fund, the method of applying for aid, and the possibility of contributing to the fund should feature on the LMC website	Sec MF
<u>Hepatitis B vaccinations for prospective medical students</u> . The GPC's guidance was unequivocal – that this work was not for GPs but was an occupational health issue for the medical colleges. Newsletter item	N/L
<u>7-day scripts</u> . While it was likely that the introduction of the Electronic Prescription System now being trialled in Cirencester and recently extended to Nailsworth would eventually make the question academic, there was now a need to agree with the LPC and CCG in what circumstances 7-day scripts would be appropriate. At the moment too much time was being spent issuing them but an immediate refusal to do so would be the wrong way to go about it.	

The issue would be put on the NeThe LPC would be invited to part	egotiators agenda of the September Executive meeting.	Sec Sec
Prescriptions for patients in nursing home prescriptions, such that the GP's obligation or the patient's representative might not contact the CCG Care Home Coordinator what was or was not the right procedure. Once known it would form a Newsletter i	on to hand the prescription to the patient be achieved. Dr Yerburgh agreed to / Teresa Middleton to obtain clarity on 	TY Sec
Alternative Provider Scans. The Executive submitting alternative provider scans. The specify what organisation had carried out were for the Acute Trust to obtain copies a special proforma. Secretary to write to	ney agreed that the GP's referral should t the scan and what the points of contact of those scan results but saw no need for	Sec
•	this month. The content was discussed .	RH Sec
Website – appraisals. After discussion it	was agreed that:	
 If accepted, the website would h understanding that it carried a d would be hidden, since the LMC 	Wessex LMC's response was to the offer. ost an Appraisals page on the isclaimer, and if not confirmed quarterly did not wish to take on the task of editing prmation	Sec Sec
	ssioning Support Unit and they planned to scheme to the public. His view, supported buld only affect those small numbers of al health conditions etc where several Id be better to spend the money on the duals gave informed consent. It should opt-out basis. Two GPs were being orking group which would be funded by necessarily have to be LMC members. yed should do so as an individual, not as	
the LMC	New action	Sec
ITEM 5 – PREPARATION FOR THE NEXT N	EGOTIATORS MEETING (26 th June)	
Review of the last Negotiators Meeting N	<u>1inutes</u> . This was done.	
Administration.		
	is and Hubbard would represent the ght be as much as half an hour late due n the Forest.	PF/SA/JH
o Dr Alvis 1	To follow To follow To follow	PF/SA/JH/Sec to note

0	Secretary	To follow	
<u>CCG Issues</u> . (N	/lary Hutton and Helen (Goodey will be there, apologies from Dr	
Seymour)			
• <u>CCG A</u>	ctions arising.		
0		The CCG's public health team were carrying	SA
0	out a review. When w District Nurse cover	will it be complete?	SA
0	 District Nurse cover Definition of ' 	'housebound'	54
	 Leg ulcer trans 		
0	<u>7-day dosette boxes</u> .		PF
0		ging protocol. As a matter of goodwill GPs	SA
		pre and post operative anti-coagulation evaporated. A protocol is required which can	
	be valued and commis		
	CG Issues.		
• <u>ivew c</u>		e training proposal. The idea being to get the	PF
		cation (i.e. Sanger House) but for the LMC to	
	organise it.		
0		roject. See discussion above.	JH SA
0	Balance of £5 per pati OOHs update.	<u>ient</u> .	PF
-			
<u>Joint Issues</u> .			
	Continuing Action.		PF
0	Risk stratification The tool to be	aused	PF
		therwise of Nursing home patients.	
0	Pneumococcal vaccine		PF
0	Death certificate form	ns and envelopes.	SA
• <u>New Jo</u>	oint Issues.		
0		ew 48-bed dementia care home has received	SA
		n Stow on the Wold. There appears to have he AT to secure funding for the increase in	
	medical cover at that	÷	
0		tentions. What has the CCG agreed with the	PF
	•	or consideration for this area?	
• <u>Date o</u>	of Next Meeting. 24 th Jul	ly	
• Date o	f August Meeting. Sugg	gest slip to 4 th September. Secretary to clear	
this wi	th the AT and CCG	New action	Sec
<u>AT Issues</u> .			
• <u>Contin</u>	uing AT Actions.		
0	Tracing the funding fo	or collaborative arrangements. (AT)	RH
	Tissues		
• <u>New A</u>	<u>T Issues</u> . Premises. Need an up	odate and the chance to air problems.	SA
0	·	belivery Plan. All sorts of issues hidden in this	PF
	one – see my briefing	on Future Plans (attached) – but it does not	
	talk about discussions	s with the LMC.	

ITEM 6 – ANY OTHER LMC BUSINESS Occupational Health. The LMC should make it clear to the Acute Trust and to Gloucestershire Care Services that patients treated initially in A&E for needlestick injuries etc of an occupational health nature should be referred to Occupational Health services, not to their GPNew action	
DATE OF NEXT MEETING Thursday 17 th July preparing for a negotiators meeting on 24 th July.	All
	l

M J D FORSTER Lay Secretary

17th June 2014

LMC Executive

FUTURE PLANS

References:

- A. BGSW Primary Care Delivery Plan dated March 2014
- B. Minutes of a Co-Commissioning of Primary Care workshop dated 10th June 2014

In case you have not seen the BGSW Primary Care Delivery Plan, it talked a lot about cocommissioning. You should read the list of changes (pages 9 to 12), of which the most contentious are probably:

- 80% of GP practices to be fully compliant with safeguarding training requirements.
- All to provide the electronic prescribing service.
- Greater emphasis on personal care and end of life plans.
- Mergers and federations.
- All primary care professionals to have access to Summary Care Records.
- Remote monitoring.
- Emergency access to primary care within 2 hours; urgent cases within 6 hours.
- 7-day working.
- All patients to be able to access electronic records by March 2015.
- Email Skype and telephone consultations.
- Calling in those with long term conditions for regular assessments.
- Preventative health checks.
- Increased provision of diagnostic services outside of hospitals.

It talks about working with CCGs and member practices. Not once does it mention LMCs.

The meeting on 10th June, which unfortunately I was unable to attend, had predictable and sufficiently strong LMC input from Dr Nigel Watson concerning:

- Conflicts of interest,
- The need for investment in premises and workforce training
- The need for new money to support new work.

There are three main options for co-commissioning, but CCGs are not bound by any of them:

- CCGs influencing the AT;
- Joint commissioning between the CCGs and AT;
- CCGs working with authority **delegated** from the AT.

CCGs now have until 20th June to make their initial suggestions in what is likely to be an iterative process. It was agreed that the very short timescale would prevent consulting patients, but the proposals would have to emphasise how the changes would benefit patients and how they would 'enable transformation in primary medical care' (which were the main aims of Reference A.)

Mike Forster Lay Secretary